Acta Crystallographica Section C Crystal Structure Communications ISSN 0108-2701

Tetraaquabis(D-camphor-10-sulfonato)calcium(II)

Dejan Jeremić,^a* Goran N. Kaluderović,^b Santiago Gómez-Ruiz,^c Ilija Brčeski^a and Katarina K. Andelković^a

^aFaculty of Chemistry, University of Belgrade, Studentski trg 12–16, PO Box 158, 11000 Belgrade, Republic of Serbia, ^bDepartment of Chemistry, Institute of Chemistry, Technology and Metallurgy, University of Belgrade, Studentski trg 14, 11000 Belgrade, Republic of Serbia, and ^cDepartamento de Química Inorgánica y Analitica, ESCET, Universidad Rey Juan Carlos, 28933 Móstoles, Madrid, Spain Correspondence e-mail: djeremic@chem.bg.ac.yu

Received 22 December 2008 Accepted 4 February 2009 Online 7 March 2009

The structure of the title compound, $[Ca(C_{10}H_{15}O_4S)_2(H_2O)_4]$, is the first example in which two D-camphor-10-sulfonate anions are coordinated to a metal ion, in this case with direct Ca-O bonding. The molecule has crystallographically imposed twofold symmetry with the Ca atom on the twofold axis. Hydrogen bonds are formed between the coordinated water molecules and the O atoms of the SO₃⁻ groups of adjacent molecules, leading to the formation of a twodimensional layered network. The compound displays sharp wavelength-selective transparency in the UV-visible spectrum, offering the potential for application as an optical filter.

Comment

As part of our research on new optical materials, we recently prepared and characterized the magnesium salt of D-camphor-10-sulfonate (Jeremić et al., 2008). This compound was found to be similar to other divalent metal (Zn^{II}, Cu^{II}, Cd^{II} and Ni^{II}) salts of this anion that have been reported (Couldwell et al., 1978; Henderson & Nicholson, 1995; Schepke et al., 2007; Zhou et al., 2003). In none of these does the camphorsulfonate anion bond directly to the metal cation. Other examples in which the camphorsulfonate acts as a noncoordinating counter-ion include the salts of bis[(diphenylphosphino)ethane]rhodium(I) (Dorta et al., 2004), bis(imidazolidine-2thione)gold(I) (Friedrichs & Jones, 2004) and tris(biguanide)chromium(III) (Brubaker & Webb, 1969). Only a few metal complexes with camphorsulfonate coordinated directly to the metal atom have been described to date (Xiao & Loh, 2007; Faller et al., 1995). These organometallic structures contain only one $M - O_{\text{sulfonate}}$ bond (M = Mo and In). We now report the structure of the title compound, tetraaquabis(Dcamphor-10-sulfonato)calcium(II), (I), the first example of a complex with direct coordination between a divalent metal ion and D-camphor-10-sulfonate. Given the very low toxicity of camphorsulfonate (Baldacci, 1938; Sinha, 1940), this type of compound may be useful in medicine as a calcium source (Fantoni, 1940).



Compound (I) exists as discrete complexes (Fig. 1) containing one Ca^{II} cation coordinated in a fairly regular octahedral arrangement by four water molecules and two trans-positioned sulfonate anions. The cation rests on a twofold rotation axis, so the asymmetric unit consists of one half-complex. In the $[M(H_2O)_6](C_{10}H_{15}O_4S)_2$ analogues (M is Zn^{II}, Cu^{II}, Cd^{II}, Ni^{II} and Mg^{II}), the metal ion is hexacoordinated by water and these metal complexes interact with the sulfonate by hydrogen bonding only. The Ca-O_{sulfonate} bond lengths in (I) (Table 1) are a little shorter than those of Ca-O_{water}, indicating the strong covalent interaction between Ca and the O atoms of the sulfonate ligands, contrasting with the ionic structure observed in the above M^{II} salts. There are several known Ca^{II} complexes with Ca-O_{sulfonate} bonding, most of which exhibit one-dimensional chain structures (Denis et al., 2001; Francis et al., 2003; Kennedy et al., 2004), while a few are monomeric complexes with coordination number 6 or 7 (Shubnell et al., 1994; Fewings et al., 2001; Kennedy et al., 2001; Barboiu & van der Lee, 2003; Kennedy et al., 2004).

Solid state UV-vis spectroscopy was carried out on crystals of (I) (Fig. 2). The transparency range is well defined for specific wavelengths and sharply separated from the nontransparent ranges. Considering these spectroscopic characteristics, it may be possible for this compound to be used as an optical filter material, since the crystals are obtainable with dimensions of up to 2 cm (Fig. 3).

The IR spectrum of (I) exhibits the following significant bands: weak-medium (CH-, CH₂-, CH₃- aliphatic) 2965 cm⁻¹; very strong (coordinated water) 3401 cm⁻¹; medium (C=O) 1735 cm⁻¹; strong, two bands $(R-SO_3^-)$





The molecular structure of compound (I), showing the atom-numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level and H atoms are shown as small spheres of arbitrary radii. [Symmetry code: (i) -x + 1, y, $-x + \frac{1}{2}$.]



Figure 2

Solid-state UV-vis spectrum of (I). The thickness of the crystal used was 3.853 mm.



Figure 3 Crystals of (I).



Figure 4

A packing diagram for (I), with hydrogen-bonding interactions shown as dashed lines. H atoms not involved in hydrogen bonding have been omitted for clarity. The symmetry code is as in Table 2.

1174 and 1052 cm⁻¹. The strong band belonging to the coordinated water molecules does not exist in the IR spectrum of the pure acid. The presence of the SO_3^- group (two bands in the IR spectrum) may be confirmed by the fact that the $-SO_3H$ group gives only one band. Band positions for the aliphatic alkyl groups (CH-, CH₂- and CH₃-) and for C=O are not significantly changed compared with the free acid.

The crystal structures of the related $[M(H_2O)_6](C_{10}H_{15}-O_4S)_2$ complexes ($M = Zn^{II}$, Cu^{II} , Cd^{II} , Ni^{II} and Mg^{II} ; Couldwell *et al.*, 1978; Henderson & Nicholson, 1995; Schepke *et al.*, 2007; Zhou *et al.*, 2003; Jeremić *et al.* 2008) consist of $[M(H_2O)_6]^{2+}$ cations and two crystallogaphically independent D-camphor-10-sulfonate anions arranged in alternating layers held together by hydrogen bonds. All the complexes crystallize in the chiral monoclinic $P2_1$ space group and are isostructural.

In contrast, the title Ca^{II} complex, $[Ca(C_{10}H_{15}O_4S)_2(H_2O)_4]$, (I), crystallizes in the orthorhombic chiral space group $C222_1$. Each water molecule coordinated to a given Ca^{II} ion interacts, *via* nearly linear robust hydrogen bonds (H···O *ca* 2.0 Å), with two neighbouring D-camphor-10-sulfonate anions (Table 2 and Fig. 4). In this way, each complex molecule interacts with six neighbouring complex molecules to generate a layered packing of molecules in the unit cell. The complexes are positioned such that the layers, which are parallel to the *ab* plane, are effectively sulfonate–Ca(H₂O)₄–sulfonate sandwiches that then stack along the *c* direction. Weak C-H···O interactions may play a role in interconnecting these layers (Table 2 and Fig. 4).

Experimental

Elemental analyses were carried out with an Elemental Vario EL III microanalyser. IR spectra were recorded on a Perkin–Elmer FT–IR 31725X spectrometer (4000–400 cm⁻¹). The UV–vis spectrum was recorded using the double-beam tehnique in the 200–800 nm range on a Specord M40 instrument (Carl Zeiss, Jena). Crystals of appropriate size, form and shape (typically $5 \times 5 \times 3$ mm) were used for the UV–vis experiment.

D-Camphorsulfonic acid monohydrate (25 g) was dissolved in deionized water (80 ml). Calcium chips were added and the suspension was left at room temperature until vigorous reaction had finished. The solution was filtered, and a further quantity of the acid (1 g) was added to lower the pH to about 2. A titanium wire (0.5 mm diameter, 80 mm long) was added to give a controlled cooling zone, as well as to provide nucleation centres. The solution was left at room temperature for two weeks. By this method it was possible to produce crystals with large dimensions (Fig. 3) that are transparent to visible light and suitable for X-ray analysis. Analysis calculated for $C_{20}H_{38}CaO_{12}S_2$: C 41.80, H 6.66, S 11.16%; found: C 41.24, H 6.41, S 10.84%.

Crystal data

$$\begin{split} & \left[\text{Ca}(\text{C}_{10}\text{H}_{15}\text{O}_{4}\text{S})_{2}(\text{H}_{2}\text{O})_{4} \right] \\ & M_{r} = 574.7 \\ & \text{Orthorhombic, } C222_{1} \\ & a = 7.5020 \text{ (2) } \text{ \AA} \\ & b = 10.8274 \text{ (3) } \text{ \AA} \\ & c = 32.3927 \text{ (9) } \text{ \AA} \end{split}$$

 $V = 2631.17 (12) \text{ Å}^{3}$ Z = 4Mo K\alpha radiation $\mu = 0.46 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 130 K $0.4 \times 0.3 \times 0.1 \text{ mm}$

Table 1 Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

Ca1-O1 Ca1-O6	2.3005 (12) 2.3256 (15)	Ca1–O5	2.3394 (15)
$O1-Ca1-O1^{i}$ O1-Ca1-O6	176.91 (8) 91.65 (6)	$O6-Ca1-O6^{i}$ O6-Ca1-O5	86.85 (9) 177.88 (6)

Symmetry code: (i) $-x + 1, y, -z + \frac{1}{2}$.

Table 2

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
$O5-H5A\cdots O2^{ii}$	0.83 (3)	2.02 (3)	2.834 (2)	175 (3)
$O5-H5B\cdots O3^{iii}$	0.84 (3)	1.97 (3)	2.808 (2)	178 (4)
$O6-H6A\cdots O3^{iv}$	0.76 (3)	2.14 (3)	2.881 (2)	166 (3)
$O6-H6B\cdots O2^{v}$	0.79 (3)	1.97 (3)	2.751 (2)	172 (2)
$C4-H4B\cdots O4^{vi}$	0.99	2.59	3.386 (2)	138
C10−H10 <i>B</i> ···O4	0.99	2.32	2.858 (2)	113

Symmetry codes: (ii) $-x + \frac{3}{2}$, $y + \frac{1}{2}$, $-z + \frac{1}{2}$; (iii) -x + 2, y, $-z + \frac{1}{2}$; (iv) $x - \frac{1}{2}$, $y - \frac{1}{2}$, z; (v) x - 1, y, z; (vi) $x - \frac{1}{2}$, $-y + \frac{3}{2}$, -z + 1.

Data collection

Oxford Xcalibur S CCD	$T_{\min} = 0.964, \ T_{\max} = 1.000$
diffractometer	(expected range = 0.921 - 0.955)
Absorption correction: multi-scan	30549 measured reflections
(CrysAlis RED; Oxford	3263 independent reflections
Diffraction Ltd, 2008)	3008 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
	$R_{\rm int} = 0.048$
Refinement	

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.032$	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.35 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
$wR(F^2) = 0.065$	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.38 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
S = 1.05	Absolute structure: Flack (1983),
3263 reflections	with 1408 Friedel pairs
177 parameters	Flack parameter: 0.00 (4)
H atoms treated by a mixture of	
independent and constrained	
refinement	

The water H atoms were refined isotropically and yielded reasonable bond lengths and angles [O-H = 0.76 (3)-0.83 (3) Å]. All other H atoms were positioned geometrically and treated as riding, with C-H = 0.98–1.00 Å and $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$ or $1.5U_{eq}(O)$.

Data collection: CrysAlis CCD (Oxford Diffraction, 2008); cell refinement: CrysAlis RED (Oxford Diffraction, 2008); data reduction: CrysAlis RED; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: ORTEP-3 (Farrugia, 1997) and PLATON (Spek, 2009); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL97.

The authors are grateful to the Ministry of Science and Technological Development of the Republic of Serbia for financial support (grant No. 142062).

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: SQ3182). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

References

- Baldacci, U. (1938). Arch. Farmacol. Sper. 65, 102-104.
- Barboiu, M. & van der Lee, A. (2003). Acta Cryst. C59, m366-m368.
- Brubaker, G. R. & Webb, L. E. (1969). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 91, 7199-7200.
- Couldwell, C., Prout, K., Robey, D., Taylor, R. & Rossotti, F. J. C. (1978). Acta Cryst. B34, 1491-1499.
- Denis, A., Palvadeau, P., Molinie, P., Chauvet, O. & Boubekeur, K. (2001). Solid State Sci. 3, 715-725.
- Dorta, R., Shimon, L. & Milstein, D. (2004). J. Organomet. Chem. 689, 751-758.
- Faller, J. W., Chase, K. J. & Mazzieri, M. R. (1995). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 229, 39-45.
- Fantoni, C. R. (1940). Ateneo Parmense, 12, 40-42.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1997). J. Appl. Cryst. 30, 565.
- Fewings, K. R., Junk, P. C., Georganopoulou, D., Prince, P. D. & Steed, J. W. (2001). Polyhedron, 20, 643-649.
- Flack, H. D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 876-881.
- Francis, S., Muthiah, P. T., Rychlewska, U. & Warzajtis, B. (2003). Acta Crvst. E59, m1160-m1163.
- Friedrichs, S. & Jones, P. G. (2004). Z. Naturforsch. Teil B, 59, 793-801.
- Henderson, W. & Nicholson, B. K. (1995). Acta Cryst. C51, 37-40.
- Jeremić, D., Kaluderović, G. N., Brčeski, I., Gómez-Ruiz, S. & Andelković, K. K. (2008). Acta Cryst. E64, m952.
- Kennedy, A. R., Hughes, M. P., Monaghan, M. L., Staunton, E., Teat, S. J. & Smith, W. E. (2001). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 2199-2205.
- Kennedy, A. R., Kirkhouse, J. B. A., McCarney, K. M., Puissegur, O., Smith, W. E., Staunton, E., Teat, S. J., Cherryman, J. C. & James, R. (2004). Chem. Eur. J. 10 4606-4615
- Oxford Diffraction (2008). CrysAlis CCD and CrysAlis RED. Versions 1.171.32.15. Oxford Diffraction Ltd, Abingdon, England.
- Schepke, M., Edelmann, F. T. & Blaurock, S. (2007). Acta Cryst. E63, m2071. Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Shubnell, A. J., Kosnic, E. J. & Squattrito, P. J. (1994). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 216, 101–112.
- Sinha, H. K. (1940). Indian J. Pharm. 2, 114-116.
- Spek, A. L. (2009). Acta Cryst. D65, 148-155.
- Xiao, J. & Loh, T.-P. (2007). Synlett, 5, 815-817.
- Zhou, J.-S., Cai, J.-W. & Ng, S. W. (2003). Acta Cryst. E59, 01185-01186.